

# The Fundamental Error Of Preterism

## The Fundamental Error Of Preterism

Preterism is fundamentally flawed, but having got that out of the way, I can also say that for good historical corroboration of the Bible, it is hard to beat a good Preterist interpretation. In studying the first Century, the Preterists get many things spot on.

Here for instance is a very fine Preterist study of the Abomination Of Desolation

<https://www.ecclesia.org/truth/mat04.html>

Where Preterism goes awry, and what makes it look so cuckoo, is that they claim that Jesus has completed his second Coming!

Yes, this is nuts frankly.

This is based on a simple misunderstanding. Hebrews tells us that God tells Jesus:

**“Sit at my right hand  
until I make your enemies a footstool for your feet”?**

and also:

**<sup>28</sup> so Christ, having been offered once to bear the sins of many, will appear a second time, not to deal with sin, but to save those who are eagerly waiting for him.**

It is therefore quite clear that the Destruction Of Jerusalem in AD 70, which the Preterists attribute to Jesus and conclude marks his Second Coming, was an act of the Father himself. Therefore we await Christ's second Coming. A bit obvious maybe, but it needs to be clarified.